METAL-ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 1221-1223

Bis(di-2-pyridyl-*N*-amine)(propionato-*O*)copper(II) Chloride Monohydrate

Sujittra Youngme,^{*a*} Kitisak Poopasit,^{*a*} Hoong-Kun Fun,^{*b*} \dagger Kandasamy Chinnakali,^{*b*} \ddagger Ibrahim Abdul Razak^{*b*} and Suchada Chantrapromma^{*c*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand, ^bX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai 90112, Thailand. E-mail: hkfun@usm.my

(Received 15 December 1997; accepted 2 March 1998)

Abstract

The CuN₄O₂ chromophore in $[Cu(C_{10}H_9N_3)_2(C_3H_5-O_2)]Cl.H_2O$ exhibits trigonal distorted square-pyramidal stereochemistry around the metal centre, with the second O atom of the propionate group occupying a sixth coordinating position at a longer distance of 2.640 (4) Å, to give $(4+1+1^*)$ -type coordination. The Cl⁻ ion participates in N—H···Cl hydrogen bonding.

Comment

The [Cu^{II}(chelate)₂(OXO)]' cations, where chelate is di-2-pyridyl or 1,10-o-phenanthroline and OXO is $[ONO]^{-}$, $[CH_3CO_2]^{-}$ or $[HCO_2]^{-}$, have been characterized (Hathaway, 1984) as having fluxional copper(II) stereochemistries (Fitzgerald et al., 1981; Simmons et al., 1983a,b, 1987; Fitzgerald & Hathaway, 1985; Hill et al., 1997) in which the copper(II) coordination sphere varies in geometry, as a function of co-anion and temperature, from square pyramidal (monodentate Obonded nitrite or carboxylate) to cis-distorted octahedral (bidentate chelating O-bonded nitrite or carboxylate). Only a few crystal structures have been determined, although the fluxional behaviour of the corresponding dpvam (di-2-pyridylamine) complexes has been reported (Aduldecha et al., 1991; Youngme et al., 1998). To obtain more information on complexes containing the more flexible nitrogen-chelate ligand, the crystal structure of $[Cu(dpyam)_2(O_2CCH_2CH_3)]Cl.H_2O_1$, (I), has been determined.



The asymmetric unit of (I) is made up of a [Cu- $(C_{10}H_9N_3)_2(C_3H_5O_2)$ ⁺ cation, a Cl⁻ anion and a water molecule. In the CuN_4O_2 chromophore, the metal centre adopts distorted square-pyramidal stereochemistry with atoms N1, N2, N4 and O1 forming the basal plane. and O1-Cu-N2 as the basal angle positioned opposite the elongated Cu-N5 direction. The Cu^{II} centre is displaced out of the basal plane by 0.235 (3) Å in the direction of N5. The basal plane has a slight trigonal distortion with a τ value ($\tau = [{N1-Cu-N4} - {N2-V2} -$ Cu-O1]/60) (Addison *et al.*, 1984) of 0.22, which is relatively close to that of an ideal square-pyramidal chromophore ($\tau = 0$). The second O atom (O2) of the propionate group occupies a sixth coordinating position at a longer distance of 2.640 (4) Å, to give $(4+1+1^*)$ type coordination. Although O2 lies well off the z axis of the square pyramid, it is close enough to be involved in off-axis weak coordination to the Cu^{II} ion (Hathaway, 1973; Hathaway et al., 1980). However, the bidentate coordination of the propionate group is highly asymmetric with a $\Delta O_{1,2}$ value of 0.648 (3) Å, giving an extremely asymmetric cis-distorted octahedral stereochemistry to the CuN₄O₂ chromophore. Hence, the geometry may be considered to be intermediate between asymmetric cis-distorted octahedral geometry and distorted square-based-pyramidal five-coordinate geometry. plus an additional long bond to the sixth ligand (O2), giving (4+1+1^{*})-type coordination (Fitzgerald & Hathaway, 1981; Hathaway et al., 1980). This type of coordination is best described as square-pyramidal cisdistorted octahedral (4+1+1*) (Hathaway, 1973), which has been reported for the corresponding dpyam complexes [Cu(dpyam)₂(O₂CCH₃)]NO₃, (II), [Cu(dpyam)₂- (O_2CH)]BF₄, (III), and [Cu(dpyam)₂(O₂CCH₂CH₃)]-NO₃, (IV) (Aduldecha et al., 1991; Youngme et al., 1998). The $\Delta(Cu-O)_{eq}$ and $\Delta(Cu-N)_{eq}$ difference plot has been used to suggest a structural pathway for these fluxional CuN₄O₂ chromophores (Simmons et al., 1987; Fitzgerald & Hathaway, 1985). These differences for (I) are consistent with fluxional behaviour of the CuN₄O₂ chromophore and the dpyam complexes may

[†] On sabbatical leave at: The Department of Chemistry, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai, Thailand.

[‡] On leave from: Department of Physics, Anna University, Chennai 600 025, India.

 $P\overline{1}$

have fluxional CuN_4O_2 stereochemistries, as previously reported for (II)-(IV)

The pyridine rings form dihedral angles of 23.1(1) and $17.1(1)^{\circ}$ for the ligands defined by N1/N2 and N4/N5, respectively. The Cl^{-} ion participates in both inter- and intramolecular N-H···Cl hydrogen bonds (Table 2) and the water O atom has short contacts of 2.734(7) and 3.202(6) Å to the O2 and Clⁱⁱⁱ atoms, respectively [symmetry code: (iii) x, y - 1, z]. Although the water H atoms were not found, the presence of the hydrogen bonding can be confirmed from the angle of $115.5(2)^{\circ}$ subtended at the water O atom by these short contacts.



Fig. 1. The structure of the title compound showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. For clarity, only the major (66%) component of the disorder affecting C23 is shown. [Symmetry code: (i) x - 1, y - 1, z.]

Experimental

The complex [Cu(dpyam)₂(O₂CCH₂CH₃)]Cl.H₂O was prepared by adding a boiling solution containing CuCl₂.2H₂O (0.34 g, 2.0 mmol) in water (40 ml) to a warm solution of dpyam (0.68 g, 4.0 mmol) in ethanol (60 ml), after which CH₃CH₂COONa (0.38 g, 4.0 mmol) was added. Dark-green crystals of the product were obtained on slow evaporation over a period of a few weeks.

Crystal data

 $wR(F^2) = 0.148$

5694 reflections

378 parameters

H atoms: see below

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.092P)^2]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

S = 0.994

 $[Cu(C_{10}H_9N_3)_2(C_3H_5O_2)]Cl.-$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation H₂O $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ $M_r = 532.488$ Cell parameters from 41 Triclinic reflections $\theta = 5.29 - 12.58^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.013 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ a = 9.2751 (17) Åb = 10.3900 (16) ÅT = 293 (2) Kc = 14.080(2) Å Parallelepiped $\alpha = 98.503 (12)^{\circ}$ $0.50 \times 0.48 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$ $\beta = 106.398 (14)^{\circ}$ Blue $\gamma = 99.953 (14)^{\circ}$ V = 1254.0 (4) Å³ Z = 2 $D_x = 1.410 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ D_m not measured Data collection Siemens P4 diffractometer $R_{\rm int} = 0.040$ $\theta/2\theta$ scans $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.50^{\circ}$ Absorption correction: $h = -1 \rightarrow 11$ empirical ψ scans $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $l = -18 \rightarrow 17$ (Siemens, 1994) $T_{\min} = 0.649, T_{\max} = 0.852$ 3 standard reflections 6747 measured reflections every 97 reflections 5694 independent reflections intensity decay: <3% 4040 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ Refinement Refinement on F^2 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.55 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.60 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

| Table | 1. Selected | geometric | parameters | (Å. | 0 | , |
|-------|-------------|-----------|------------|----------------|---|---|
| LUCIO | 1. 00100104 | Acometric | parameters | (1 1) | | |

| | - | - | |
|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| Cu—O1 | 1.992 (2) | Cu—N2 | 2.023 (2) |
| Cu—O2 | 2.640 (4) | Cu—N4 | 2.032 (2) |
| Cu—N1 | 1.993 (2) | CuN5 | 2.186 (3) |
| O1—Cu—N1 | 88.33 (10) | N2-Cu-N5 | 100.44 (11) |
| O1-Cu-N2 | 158.81 (12) | N4—Cu—N5 | 86.28 (10) |
| N1—Cu—N2 | 89.12 (10) | O1—Cu—O2 | 54.11 (12) |
| O1-Cu-N4 | 85.37 (10) | N1-Cu-O2 | 84.20 (11) |
| NI—Cu—N4 | 172.12 (11) | N2—Cu—O2 | 104.70 (12) |
| N2—Cu—N4 | 95.05 (10) | N4—Cu—O2 | 88.27 (11) |
| O1-Cu-N5 | 100.73 (11) | N5—Cu—O2 | 154.64 (11) |
| N1—Cu—N5 | 99 57 (10) | | |

Table 2. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

| D— H ··· A | D—H | $\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$ | $D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | D—H···A |
|---|--------------|---|-------------------------|---------|
| N6—H1N6···Cl | 0.73 (3) | 2.46 (3) | 3.187 (3) | 174 (3) |
| N3—H1N3···Cl ⁱ | 0.63 (4) | 2.54 (4) | 3.165 (3) | 173 (4) |
| C14—H14···CI | 0.88 (4) | 2.82 (4) | 3.591 (4) | 148 (3) |
| C10—H10· · · N4 | 1.03 (4) | 2.56 (4) | 3.115 (4) | 113 (3) |
| $C12$ — $H12 \cdot \cdot \cdot O1W^{n}$ | 0.92 (5) | 2.51 (5) | 3.282 (6) | 142 (4) |
| Symmetry codes: (i) | x - 1, y - 1 | (ii) $-x$. | $-y_{1} - 1 - z_{2}$ | |

The title structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques. Atom C23 of the propionate group showed disorder. The occupancies of the disordered positions C23A and C23B were initially refined and then fixed at 0.66 (2) for C23A and 0.34 (2) for C23B. These atoms were isotropically refined with C22—C23A and C22—C23B distances restrained to be equal with an effective standard deviation of 0.02 Å. The H atoms of C22 and C23 (C23A and C23B) were geometrically fixed and allowed to ride on their parent atoms. The remaining H atoms were located from a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. H atoms belonging to the water molecule could not be located.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994). Cell refinement: XSCANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL/PC (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL/PC. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93 and PARST95 (Nardelli, 1995).

The authors acknowledge the National Research Council of Thailand for a research grant and would like also to thank the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia for research grant R&D No. 190-9609-2801. HKF would like to thank the Royal Thai Ministry of University Affairs for a grant under its Foreign Expert Invitation program. KC thanks the Universiti Sains Malaysia for a Visiting Postdoctoral Fellowship.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM1221). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Addison, A. W., Nageswara Rao, T., Reedijk, J., van Run, J. & Verschoor, G. C. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1340– 1356.
- Aduldecha, S., Keniry, M. E., Akhter, P., Tyagi, S. & Hathaway, B. J. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 1378–1383.
- Fitzgerald, W. & Hathaway, B. J. (1981). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 567-574.
- Fitzgerald, W. & Hathaway, B. J. (1985). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 141-149.
- Fitzgerald, W., Murphy, B., Tyagi, S., Walsh, A., Walsh, B. & Hathaway, B. J. (1981). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2271-2279.
- Hathaway, B. J. (1973). Struct. Bonding (Berlin), 14, 49-69.
- Hathaway, B. J. (1984). Struct. Bonding (Berlin), 57, 55-118.
- Hathaway, B. J., Ray, N., Kennedy, D., O'Brien, N. & Hurphy, B. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 1371-1377.
- Hill, S. J., Hubberstey, P. & Li, W. S. (1997). Polyhedron, 16, 2447-2453.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL/PC User's Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS User's Manual. Version 2.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Simmons, C. J., Clearfield, A., Fitzgerald, W., Tyagi, S. & Hathaway, B. J. (1983a). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 189-191.
- Simmons, C. J., Clearfield, A., Fitzgerald, W., Tyagi, S. & Hathaway, B. J. (1983b). Inorg. Chem. 22, 2463–2466.
- Simmons, C. J., Hathaway, B. J., Amornjarusiri, K., Santar-Siero, B. D. & Clearfield, A. (1987). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109, 1947–1958.
- Youngme, S., Chandavong, K., Pakawatchai, C., Zhou, Z. Y. & Fun, H.-K. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 199–201.

© 1998 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 1223-1225

[1,4-Bis(diphenylphosphino)butane-P,P']-(N,N'-diethyldithiocarbamato-S,S')nickel(II) Perchlorate

Kuppukkannu Ramalingam,^a† Omar bin Shawkataly,^a Hoong-Kun Fun^b and Abdul Razak Ibrahim^b

^aChemical Sciences Programme, Centre for Distance Education, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia, and ^bX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia. E-mail: omarsa@usm.my

(Received 9 September 1997; accepted 30 January 1998)

Abstract

The title compound, $[Ni(C_5H_{10}NS_2)(C_{28}H_{28}P_2)]ClO_4$, is planar. The pairs of Ni—S [2.2089 (8) and 2.2075 (8) Å] and Ni—P [2.1945 (7) and 2.1932 (8) Å] bond lengths are respectively equal, and the P—Ni—P angle [95.12 (3)°] is large as a consequence of the flexible butyl chain between the two P atoms. The butyl chain lies on one side of the planar NiS₂P₂ chromophore and two of the phenyl rings are arranged laterally above the plane.

Comment

Since Ni^{II} is a borderline acceptor, its dithiocarbamate complexes show a preferential interaction towards phosphine ligands leading to the formation of NiS₂PCl and NiS₂P₂ chromophores (Venkatachalam *et al.*, 1997). Steric and electronic influences of alkyl substituents on the structures of various nickel dithiocarbamates have been investigated (McCleverty & Morrison, 1976; Lachenal, 1975; Burns *et al.*, 1980). The partial double-bond nature of the thioureide bond in the dithiocarbamate ligands has been correlated with the corresponding IR stretching frequencies (Maxfield, 1970).



The title compound, (I), contains discrete mononuclear [Ni(dedtc)(dppb)]⁺ cations [where dedtc is the $S_2CN(C_2H_5)_2^-$ anion and dppb is $(C_6H_5)_2PCH_2CH_2$ -

[†] On leave from: Department of Chemistry, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar 608 002, Tamilnadu, India.